

1
2
3
4 FORREST M. RICHARDSON,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 DENISE REYES, et al.,
8 Defendants.

9 Case No. 12-cv-00310-JST
10
11

**ORDER VACATING MOTION
HEARING**


Re: ECF No. 33

12
13 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint in this
14 case. ECF No. 33. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-
15 (b), the Court finds that the parties' briefs have thoroughly addressed the issues, rendering the
16 matter suitable for disposition without oral argument. The hearing on this matter, currently
17 scheduled for September 12, 2013, is hereby VACATED.

18 However, if any party advises the Court in writing by no later than two days from the date
19 of this Order that most or all of the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer who has
20 been licensed to practice law for four or fewer years, and who has not previously presented
21 argument before this Court, then the Court will reschedule the hearing at a time that is convenient
22 to all parties in order to provide that opportunity. Any such notice should reflect the date or dates
23 on which the parties are available for the hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: September 4, 2013
25
26


27 JON S. TIGAR
28 United States District Judge